

Notes

Meeting Title:	Wairoa Wastewater – Stakeholder Focus Group Meeting 3
Date & Time:	Monday 29 th May 2017; 9:30-3:00pm
Location:	Wairoa Airport (Meeting Room) + Site Visits
Organiser:	Jamie Cox
Facilitator:	Neil Cook
Contact details:	jamie@wairoadc.govt.nz; 027 454 9156 ncook@rationale.co.nz; 027 898 7799
Stakeholder Group Attendees:	Charles Lambert; Min Johansen; Jean Cooper; Katarina Kawana; Naomi Wilson; Michelle McIlroy; Paul Sullivan;

WDC Staff and Project Jamie Cox; Kitea Tipuna; Neil Cook; Hamish Lowe. **Team:**

Apologies:

Gary Mayo Beretta Keefe

Timing	Activity
9:30 am	Introduction
	Discussion: Karakia Welcome/Introductions
	Outcome:
9:35 am	Session 2 – Meeting 2
	Discussion: Recap Any correction to notes Details covered and questions
	 Outcome: Paul Kelly is no longer involved. He was initially the MSC rep as it was intended that MSC would provide the sole rep. However, the MSC support three iwi members and hence there is no need for a MSC rep to be involved. Wanted to also ensure group was not dominated by Council appointments, given there are also 2 councillors on group.
9:45 am	Session 3 – Project Name
	Discussion: Report back from Kitea on action from last meeting
	 Outcome: Kitea noted the process is important and name secondary. Does the name add value? But name can help to explain function of process. He suggested the group continue to be known as The Wairoa Wastewater Stakeholder Focus Group
	Later discussion introduced the idea that "toitū te whenua, toitū te moana, toitū te iwi" which came up in the values discussion could be an appropriate tag-line.

Wairoa Wastewater Stakeholder Focus Group

toitū te whenua, toitū te moana, toitū te iwi



Timing	Activity
10:00 am	Morning Tea (working morning tea)
10:10 am	Session 4 – Technical Reporting
	Discussion: Structure and reasoning behind background reports Summary of key reports
	 Outcome: HL went over reason for technical reports and the structure of how they related to each other. Gave examples and provided a brief summary of several. Provided copies of summaries for some reports. Reporting included summary of last meetings values and criteria exercise. This highlighted cultural and financial considerations ranked highest.
10.30 am	Session 5 – Options
	 Discussion: Selection process Selection criteria Avoiding pre-determination Bottom lines – cost, cultural, water quality Options for reticulation Options for treatment Options for discharge Combined options How do we get to BPO What information is needed Need for councillor approval of process Outcome: HL went through options, including selection criteria process. Gave examples and ideas for choosing one option over another. Discussed possible selection criteria. Looked at and applied possible criteria to general options that spanned range of options from 100 % water to 100 % land discharge. Discussed affordability. Potential rate increases acceptable were in the range of \$10 - \$800 per annum. Discussed continuum of land vs water. Presented merits and implications of land and water options when considered against cultural, environmental, financial and recreational values. HL presented options as they relate to the discharge. Charlie identified the need to consider other factors such as the level of treatment. Focus of Stakeholder Focus Group will be on options and solutions for discharge, and WDC staff will deal with reticulation and treatment options, but report back to group and progress as needed/available.
12.00pm	Session 6 – Community engagement Discussion: Who do we engage with? How do we engage (community meeting, group meeting, newspaper, facebook)? What information is shared? Who should be involved?
	Outcome:

Wairoa Wastewater Stakeholder Focus Group

toitū te whenua, toitū te moana, toitū te iwi



Timing	Activity
	Going to summarise process and present to council to make sure they're happy.
	This won't be options, but how to identify options.
	Look to set up public meeting after council approval of process. This will
	summarise where project is at and provide opportunity for further discussion with
	groups or individuals. Idea is to explain process and need for BPO. Would like
	assistance from Stakeholder Focus Group to identify what specific groups may need
	further discussion/engagement.
	Meeting likely to be end June early July.
12.20pm	Session 7 – Other Matters
	Discussion:
	Any other fact sheets needed? AFFCO reporting – what is available and how might participants access it or engage
	with AFFCO
	Outcome:
	• What is meant by cultural – all cultures vs tangata whenua? In this context, we are
	referring to tangata whenua.
	• Other reports – big gap in cultural values and issues, especially as they relate to
	Wairoa history. Agreed we need to consider local cultural values, including
	implications of discharge sites as they relate to wahi tapu etc
	Agreed needed a scope.
	Action: KT/HL to work on scope with input from Naomi and Michelle.
	 AFFCO – Paul provided brief summary of status of monitoring reporting.
12.40pm	Session 8 – Administration
	Discussion:
	Future topics for discussion
	Next meeting focus
	Outcome:
	Technical reports and meeting details will be made available on website. Link will
	be emailed to all.
	 Next meeting – A visit to look at discharge systems in Hawkes Bay. Will be 26
	June. More information coming.
12.45pm	Lunch
1:15pm –	Session 9 – Optional site visit
2:45pm	View:
	Kopu Road pump station
	Wairoa Wastewater Treatment plant
	Current discharge
1	
	Outcome:
	Outcome: Went to look at Kopu Rd Pump Station, treatment plant and discharge location. Discussions
	Outcome: